

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/459,493	12/13/1999	MOSHE RUBIN	60644-8004.US01	60644-8004.US01 1209	
22918	7590 08/14/2006		EXAMINER		
PERKINS COIE LLP			COLIN, CARL G		
P.O. BOX 2168 MENLO PARK, CA 94026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/200	DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/459,493	RUBIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carl Colin	2136	

	Carl Colin	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-14,16-27,29-36,38-53,55-66 and 6</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>88-80</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ulation of Ammont will a	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	AL NOT ! " " "		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
NASSER MOAZZAMI			
PRIMARY EXAMINER			
0 10.00			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to change the pixel datum or pixel data claimed previously to pixel color data or pixel color datum. The claims as amended raise new issues that would require further search/consideration. Applicant has not addressed the citations provided in the rejection to show how the claims as amended are patentably distinct from the prior art. On the other hand, applicant refers, on page 16, to an alternative technique of Dwin citing col 7, lines 45-48 to argue Dwin's reference. Examiner asserts that the pixel data in Dwin are pixel color data (see final rejection of claim 1 and see also rejection of claim 3). Dependent claims 3, 29, and 55 before the amendment recite pixel data including color component. For at least the reasons cited above, the proposed amendment will not be entered.